## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

OSWALDO BARRIOS,	8	
on behalf of himself and all others similarly	§	
situated,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	NO. 5:25-cv-291-JKP-ESC
INSTALLATION SQUAD, LLC; MASTEC	, §	
	\{\}	
NETWORK SOLUTIONS, LLC;	§	
<b>BERNARDO NAPOLES; and ANGEL</b>	§	
RINCON,	§	
	8	
Defendants.	3	

## [Proposed] ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE PLAINTIFF'S SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

On this date, the Court considered Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint. Having considered the Motion, the response and any reply, and the applicable authorities, the Court is of the opinion that it should be, and hereby is, **DENIED**, as Plaintiff's proposed amendment would be futile.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this day of	, 2025.
	ELIZABETH CHESTNEY
	LIMITED STATES MACISTRATE HIDGE